

### L11.- Elements of the safety assessment (IV)

International Atomic Energy Agency



To identify the key elements in the development of the safety assessment:

- Analysis of Safety Measures
- Analysis of Engineering
- Compare against Assessment Criteria





# In the previous lectures about Elements of the Safety Assessment you learned about:

- Why to do safety assessment. Overall approach. Safety assessment objectives.
- Identification of some key elements in the development of the safety assessment:
  - ✓ Assessment context. Safety criteria and end points.
  - Description of the facility or activity
  - Development and justification of scenarios
- Overview of the safety assessment approach:
  - Identification of Models and Data Needs
  - Performing Dose Calculations
  - Evaluation of results, Analysis of uncertainties

#### Now we will continue developing this issues





# Engineering analysis and identification of safety measures

- Safety functions provided by structures, systems and components (SSC's)
- Administrative safety measures, e.g.
  - ✓ Definition of limits, controls and conditions (LCC's).
  - ✓ Operational procedures.
  - ✓ Management systems.
- Adequacy of existing or planned safety measures
- Identification of additionally required safety measures:
  - ✓ Improvement of design
  - New or improved administrative safety measures







Assessment endpoints can include:

- Radiation protection targets such as doses or risk.
  - They usually are related to the relevant regulatory requirements and shall be consistent with the assessment context.
- Safety indicators such as:
  - ✓ Dose rate,
  - ✓ Concentrations / releases of radionuclides,
  - Concentrations / releases of non-radiological, contaminants.

Receptors (individuals, population, non-human species) associated with different endpoints should be identified and described.





- Overall conclusion on the safety of the facility using quantitative assessment results as well as other arguments
- Input to decision-making about siting, design, operation, shutdown, decommissioning, closure and institutional control of the facility
- Input to regulatory process





- Comparison against assessment criteria:
  - Dose / risk criteria for workers / public;
  - Environmental impacts;
- Review and, if necessary, iteration of safety assessment, for example, by:
  - Improvement of data bases;
  - Revising scenario definitions;
  - Modification of the assessment models (e.g. use of more realistic models).





# Interdependencies

- Interdependencies exist among all steps of a facility or activity
- In the safety assessment of a particular step in the process, one should consider links to other steps in the process



Transport





# **Examples of interdependencies**

- Seismic, flood plain, and other external factors during siting linked to design requirements for facility
- Selection of barriers
- Periodic safety reviews can be used to continuously consider potential interdependencies







- The results and findings of the safety assessment shall be documented, as appropriate, in the form of a safety report:
- ✓ Key elements:
  - Uncertainties and their treatment;
  - Quality and reliability of science and design work;
  - Quality and adequacy of methods, approaches, scenarios, models, etc.
  - Demonstration of clear link with relevant requirements, e.g. safety, management system;
- Good traceability is important for the purposes of technical and regulatory review and for building public confidence.



# **Documentation and use**

### Example of the content of a Safety Report

- Executive summary
- Introduction
- Assessment context
- Development of Scenarios
- Safety analysis
- Analysis of Assessment results
- Follow-up programmes
  and actions
- Public involvement (other parties)

#### Use

- Design
- Construction
- Commissioning
- Derivation of limits, conditions and controls
- Operation
- Monitoring
- Management controls
- Licensing



#### Safety assessment provides basis for:

 Identification of safety significant design features and necessary mitigation measures.

### Definition of safe operating envelope.

- operational limits, conditions and controls (LCCs) to prevent unacceptable exposures and releases
- Derivation of operational procedures to ensure that operations remain within the LCCs.





The Safety assessment shall be updated as necessary and reviewed periodically when:

- There is any significant change that may affect the safety of the facility or activity.
- There are significant developments in knowledge and understanding.
- Lessons learned can result in operational changes and modifications to safety assessment documentation.
- There is an emerging safety issue owing to a regulatory concern or an incident.
- There have been significant improvements in assessment techniques such as computer codes or input data used in the safety analysis.



- Performed by suitably qualified and experienced individuals or a group different from those who carried out the safety assessment.
- The aim of independent verification is to determine whether the safety assessment has been carried out in an acceptable way.
- The decisions made on the scope and level of detail of the independent verification have to be reviewed in the independent verification itself, to ensure that:
  - are consistent with the graded approach,
  - reflect the possible radiation risks associated with the facility or activity,
  - its maturity and complexity





- Useful for confidence building;
- International peer review (e.g. via IAEA) can be undertaken to ensure that the assessment meets certain requirements, such as:
  - Adherence to IAEA standards;
  - ✓ Multi-barrier system with assigned safety functions;
  - ✓ Clear and logical reasoning and presentation;
  - ✓ Well justified data;
  - Appropriate management system;
  - ✓ Multiple lines of reasoning.
- Specialised review





